

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| LFC Requester: | Ismael Torres |
|-----------------------|----------------------|

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2019 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply: Date 01/21/19
Original **Amendment** **Bill No:** SB27
Correction **Substitute**

Sponsor: MICHAEL PADILLA **Agency Code:** _____
Short Title: CHILD ABUSE HOMICIDE REVIEW TEAM **Person Writing:** RVAZQUEZ
Title: _____ **Phone:** 5056708484 **Email:** ROSANNACVAZQU

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

| Appropriation | | Recurring or Nonrecurring | Fund Affected |
|---------------|------|---------------------------|---------------|
| FY19 | FY20 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue | | | Recurring or Nonrecurring | Fund Affected |
|---|-------------|-------------|--|---|
| FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | | |
| 10% as set forth in insurance code | Same | Same | Yes dependent on monies as set forth in insurance code | General fund reduced by the amount previously removed from this fund. |
| | | | | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

| | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | 3 Year Total Cost | Recurring or Nonrecurring | Fund Affected |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total | | | | | | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

SB 27 creates within the Crime victims reparation committee to review any child abuse homicides. To review how state agencies responded and how it is that the state agencies can work together to prevent further types of homicides. The Committee calls for a member of AODA to be on Committee/Team.

The Statute further covers protection from liability to members of the Team and any persons who provide information either through testimony or documentation. It identifies documents and testimony as confidential given for the purpose of furthering the goal of the team.

The Act requires reporting of the findings to Governor, legislators, supreme court and directors of agencies.

Analysis:

The genesis for this idea undoubtedly has come from the death of the minor child in Albuquerque. The team is a positive idea in that it includes multiple agencies that by working together could perhaps initiate lines of communication between agencies, and planned approaches to possible scenarios that could lead to homicides where an interagency approach could prevent. Such communication could perhaps preclude further homicides.

It is difficult to attain a monetary impact on the AODA, in that how many times the team meets is dependent on child abuse homicides, which is unknown. Also there is not a budget afforded to the Team to cover costs of time, and costs associated with the testimony and review of documentation they are required to do as part of their duties. Lack of funding could preclude any team meetings and or investigations.

A possible addition to this bill would be a clause that each team member sign a confidentiality agreement and any documentation provided or testimony given such person or agency be given a confidentiality agreement.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

THERE IS NO FUNDING ALLOCATED FOR THIS BILL AND THIS REVIEW TEAM.

Specifically with respect to AODA, a member of the agency would need to be on the committee. The amount of time taken from this employee's other duties is dependent on the number of homicides and how many times the review team is required to meet.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS - NA

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS - NA

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP – NA

TECHNICAL ISSUES -NA

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Given that this is a new review team, it is unclear what the implication of not passing this bill. It is safe to say that a review team would help in inter agency communication. Whether that decreases child abuse homicides cannot be assessed at this time.

AMENDMENTS

Not at this time.