LFC Requester:

ISMAEL TORRES

Date 02/19/19

Bill No: SB 575

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2019 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

 Check all that apply:

 Original
 Amendment

 Correction
 Substitute

		Agency Name and Code	AODA/264	
Sponsor:	SEDILLO-LOPEZ	Number:		
Short	DWI TESTING	Person Writing	ROSAN	INA C VAZQUEZ
Title:	REQUIREMENTS	Phone: 50567084	484 Email	rosannacvazquez@gm

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

<u>APPROPRIATION</u> (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY19	FY20	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

<u>REVENUE</u> (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY19	FY20	FY21	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY19	FY20	FY21	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY:

SB 575 proposes to amend NMSA SECTION 66-8-111 - - REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO CHEMICAL TEST - - TESTING - - GROUNDS FOR REVOCATION OF LICENSE OR PRIVILEGE TO DRIVE.

1. Overall word "his" changed to "persons"

2. Pg. 2, line 6-12. In paragraph A, pg. 2, lines 6-12, when a defendant refuses to chemical testing a warrant is required in every instance. The current law requires a warrant in a situation when refusal is made and either of the following is apparent. (This language has been deleted.):

a. A felony is alleged to have been committed while defendant under the influence of alcohol; or

- b. Death or great bodily harm under the influence; and
- c. The test will provide material evidence in a felony prosecution.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES:

The amendment falls in line with the 2016 Supreme Court ruling Birchfield vs. North Dakota.

AMENDMENT LANGUAGE - NA

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS – NA

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS - NA

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP - NA

TECHNICAL ISSUES – NA

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES - NA

ALTERNATIVES - NA

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL:

STATUS QUO

AMENDMENTS:

Not at this time.