



**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

|              | <b>FY20</b> | <b>FY21</b> | <b>FY22</b> | <b>3 Year<br/>Total Cost</b> | <b>Recurring or<br/>Nonrecurring</b> | <b>Fund<br/>Affected</b> |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Total</b> |             |             |             |                              |                                      |                          |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

**SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

**BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: House bill 337 appropriates 250,000.00 to the general fund for the administrative office of the district attorneys to offset costs incurred for drug tests administered in the operation of the pre-prosecution diversion programs in the judicial districts

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES** the funding's sole purpose should be for meeting the necessary expenses incurred in the operation of the administrative office of the district attorney. Currently the bill states that the funding would offset costs associated with drug testing which the administrative office of the district attorneys does not incur. This language as stated could limit the flexibility of spending. To fully offset costs needed the amount needed would be 300,000.00 every fiscal year.

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

**TECHNICAL ISSUES**

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

**ALTERNATIVES**

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**  
consequences of not funding this bill would be that the administrative office of the district attorneys would be unable to comply with its mission of providing fiscal, IT and administrative support to all the District Attorneys statewide to its fullest.

## **AMENDMENTS**

Since the legislation appropriates 250,000.00 we recommend using the language with in the bill to increase the appropriation to 300,000.00.

On lines 19, 20 and 21, the legislation states “ to offset costs incurred for drug tests administered in the operation of pre-prosecution diversion programs” we recommend that the legislation state “ to offset costs incurred for pre prosecution and diversion programs in the judicial districts.